EVS United States Department of Labor



Bureau of Labor Statistics

New York Office

Internet Address: http://www.bls.gov/ro2/home.htm

Martin Kohli, (212) 337-2420

Media Contact: Michael L. Dolfman, (212) 337-2500

Fax-On-Demand: (212) 337-2412

Information:

FOR RELEASE: Thursday, June 2, 2005

AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGES IN NEW JERSEY: THIRD QUARTER 2004
Hudson County's 5.6-Percent Wage Growth Leads State;
Wages Down 6.9 Percent In Somerset County,
Third Largest Drop In Nation

In the third quarter of 2004, average weekly wages in Hudson County grew 5.6 percent over the year, the largest increase among New Jersey's 15 counties with annual average employment of 75,000 or more. Gloucester County was second with wage growth of 5.4 percent. Regional Commissioner Michael L. Dolfman pointed out that Somerset County was the only one of New Jersey's 15 large counties to record an over-the-year decline in wages. (See table 1.) Moreover, Somerset County's drop of 6.9 percent was the third largest decrease among the nation's 317 large counties; Hudson County's increase, on the other hand, was the 34th largest.

At the State level, the average weekly wage in New Jersey increased 2.8 percent to \$876 in the third quarter of 2004, \$24 higher than a year ago. By contrast, the national increase was 4.0 percent. Although New Jersey's pay increase did not keep pace with national growth, the State's average weekly wage of \$876 remained roughly 20 percent higher than the U.S. average of \$733. The average weekly wage in New Jersey ranked fourth highest in the nation, behind Connecticut (\$917), Massachusetts (\$907), and New York (\$891), and ahead of California (\$829) and Maryland (\$795). (See table 2.)

Wage Levels

Among the largest counties in New Jersey, Somerset and Morris recorded the highest average weekly wages (\$1,093 and \$1,034, respectively) in the third quarter of 2004. (See table 1.) Somerset County's average weekly wage was 49.1 percent above the national average, ranking 9th among the 317 largest counties, while Morris County's wage ranked 12th. Six other counties (Hudson, Essex, Middlesex, Bergen, Union, and Mercer) ranked in the top 30 nationally. Overall, 12 of the 15 large counties in New Jersey had wages above the U.S. average. The three counties with wages below the national weekly wage were Gloucester, Atlantic, and Ocean, averaging \$679, \$666, and \$623, respectively.



Among the 317 large counties in the nation, New York County, N.Y., recorded the highest average weekly wage at \$1,327 in the third quarter of 2004. Santa Clara, Calif., was second with an average weekly wage of \$1,308, followed by Washington, D.C. (\$1,207), and Arlington, Va. (\$1,196). Three of the 10 counties with the highest wages in the U.S. were located in the greater New York metropolitan area (New York, N.Y., Fairfield, Conn., and Somerset, N.J.), three others were located in or around the San Francisco area (Santa Clara, San Mateo, and San Francisco, all in California), while three more were located in or around the Washington D.C. metropolitan area (Washington, D.C., Arlington, Va., and Fairfax, Va.). The lowest average weekly wage was reported in Cameron County, Texas (\$468), followed by the counties of Hidalgo, Texas (\$475); Horry, S.C. (\$487); Webb, Texas (\$496); and Yakima, Wash. (\$500).

Over-the-Year Changes

Five of New Jersey's large counties (Hudson, Essex, Gloucester, Middlesex, and Passaic) recorded wage growth greater than or equal to the national increase of 4.0 percent in the third quarter of 2004. Hudson County's 5.6-percent wage gain ranked 34th highest in the nation and Gloucester County's 5.4-percent increase ranked 44th. Wage growth was particularly sluggish in several New Jersey counties -- Morris, Camden, and Mercer -- but only one, Somerset, recorded a decline.

St. Joseph, Ind., led the nation in average weekly wage growth with an increase of 10.4 percent. Suffolk, Mass., was second with a gain of 9.1 percent, followed by the counties of Loudoun, Va. (8.4 percent), Rockingham, N.H. (8.1 percent), and Arlington, Va. (7.7 percent). Seven large counties experienced over-the-year declines in average weekly wages led by Kalamazoo County, Mich. (-7.7 percent). Arapahoe, Colo. (-7.3 percent) was next, then Somerset County, N.J. (-6.9 percent), and King, Wash. (-2.4 percent). (A change in the definition of wages covered by unemployment insurance in the State of Washington contributed to the decline in King County.)

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from reports submitted by employers subject to state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) laws. The 8.4 million employer reports cover 130.2 million full- and part-time jobs. The average weekly wage is computed by dividing the total quarterly payroll of employees covered by UI programs by the average monthly number of these employees. This number then is divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or States for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all States, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at http://www.bls.gov/cew/.

Additional statistics and other information

An annual bulletin, *Employment and Wages*, features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. *Employment and Wages Annual Averages*, 2003 is available for sale from the BLS Publications Sales Center, P.O.

Box 2145, Chicago, Illinois 60690, telephone 312-353-1880. The 2003 bulletin is now available in a portable document format (PDF) on the BLS Web site at http://www.bls.gov/cew/cewbultn03.htm.

News releases on quarterly measures of gross job flows also are available upon request from the Division of Administrative Statistics and Labor Turnover (Business Employment Dynamics), telephone 202-691-6467; (http://www.bls.gov/bdm/); (e-mail: BDMInfo@bls.gov). Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-691-5200; TDD message referral phone number: 1-800-877-8339.

NOTE

QCEW data are simply the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. For this reason, county and industry data are not designed to be used as a time series.

Also, the preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. The potential differences result from several causes. Differences between BLS and State published data may be due to the continuing receipt, review, and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. No adjustments are made for changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary activity), because these changes are due to an actual event. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

NYLS - 7198

Labor – New York

5/24/05

Table 1. Covered $\frac{1}{2}$ employment and wages in the United States and the 15 largest counties in New Jersey, third quarter 2004 $\frac{2}{2}$

| | Employment | Average weekly wage $\frac{3}{}$ | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Area | September | Average | National | Percent | National ranking | | | |
| | 2004 | Weekly | ranking | change, | by percent | | | |
| | (thousands) | Wage | by level | $\frac{1}{2003-04} = \frac{4}{2}$ | change | | | |
| United States | 130,248.9 | \$733 | | 4.0 | _ | | | |
| New Jersey | 3,918.8 | 876 | _ | 2.8 | | | | |
| new delbey | 3,710.0 | 0,0 | | 2.0 | | | | |
| Atlantic | 147.3 | 666 | 196 | 2.9 | 224 | | | |
| Bergen | 447.7 | 910 | 30 | 2.9 | 224 | | | |
| Burlington | 198.8 | 789 | 73 | 3.5 | 187 | | | |
| Camden | 210.8 | 741 | 107 | 2.2 | 272 | | | |
| Essex | 357.4 | 947 | 21 | 4.3 | 116 | | | |
| Gloucester | 100.4 | 679 | 177 | 5.4 | 44 | | | |
| Hudson | 234.4 | 980 | 13 | 5.6 | 34 | | | |
| Mercer | 217.4 | 934 | 24 | 1.5 | 290 | | | |
| Middlesex | 392.0 | 938 | 23 | 4.0 | 138 | | | |
| Monmouth | 254.9 | 786 | 76 | 3.7 | 163 | | | |
| Morris | 281.3 | 1,034 | 12 | 2.3 | 270 | | | |
| Ocean | 148.9 | 623 | 252 | 3.1 | 209 | | | |
| Passaic | 178.1 | 786 | 76 | 4.2 | 120 | | | |
| Somerset | 166.1 | 1,093 | 9 | -6.9 | 310 | | | |
| Union | 232.1 | 912 | 29 | <u>5</u> / | _ | | | |

 $[\]underline{1}/$ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

^{2/} Data are preliminary.

^{3/} Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

 $[\]underline{4}/$ Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for any noneconomic county reclassifications.

^{5/} Data do not meet BLS or State agency disclosure standards.

Table 2. Covered employment and wages by state, third quarter 20042

| | Employment | Average weekly wage ³ | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Area | September 2004 (thousands) | Average weekly wage | National ranking by level | Percent change, 2003-04 ⁴ | National ranking by percent change | | |
| United States ⁵ | 130,248.9 | \$733 | 1 | 4.0 | - | | |
| Alabama | 1,858.0 | 6 2 9 | 3 3 | 3.6 | 3 7 | | |
| Alaska | 314.2 | 7 5 5 | 13 | 3.4 | 4 2 | | |
| Arizona | 2,357.6 | 691 | 2 2 | 4.9 | 10 | | |
| Arkansas | 1,145.7 | 5 7 0 | 4 5 | 5.2 | 7 | | |
| California | 15,106.6 | 829 | 6 | 3.9 | 3 2 | | |
| Colorado | 2,163.4 | 7 5 2 | 15 | 1.1 | 5 0 | | |
| Connecticut | 1,642.1 | 917 | 2 | 5.4 | 5 | | |
| Delaware | 414.9 | 7 6 9 | 9 | 2.1 | 4 9 | | |
| District of Columbia | 658.3 | 1,207 | 1 | 7.6 | 1 | | |
| Florida | 7,397.2 | 6 5 5 | 2 7 | 4.5 | 16 | | |
| Georgia | 3,837.8 | 711 | 19 | 3.8 | 3 5 | | |
| Hawaii | 585.6 | 676 | 2 4 | 4.5 | 16 | | |
| Idaho | 608.1 | 5 6 9 | 4 6 | 0.4 | 3 0 | | |
| Illinois | 5,747.7 | 779 | 8 | 3.9 | 3 2 | | |
| Indiana | 2,887.8 | 6 5 5 | 2 7 | 4.5 | 16 | | |
| Iowa | 1,431.8 | 6 0 4 | 3 7 | 4.1 | 2 4 | | |
| Kansas | 1,304.8 | 620 | 3 4 | 4.6 | 13 | | |
| Kentucky | 1,742.9 | 619 | 3 5 | 4.4 | 19 | | |
| Louisiana | 1,861.1 | 5 9 5 | 4 1 | 2.8 | 4 7 | | |
| Maine | 608.8 | 603 | 3 9 | 4.3 | 21 | | |
| Maryland | 2,479.5 | 7 9 5 | 7 | 4.2 | 2 3 | | |
| Massachusetts | 3,156.5 | 907 | 3 | 5.5 | 4 | | |
| Michigan | 4,344.5 | 7 5 7 | 10 | 3.4 | 4 2 | | |
| Minnesota | 2,629.9 | 7 5 3 | 1 4 | 3.2 | 4 4 | | |
| Mississippi | 1,113.8 | 5 4 0 | 4 9 | 3.6 | 3 7 | | |
| Missouri | 2,656.2 | 6 5 5 | 2 7 | 0.3 | 4 6 | | |
| Montana | 41.3 | 5 2 5 | 51 | 3.6 | 3 7 | | |
| Nebraska | 887.4 | 601 | 4 0 | 3.6 | 3 7 | | |
| Nevada | 1,168.5 | 703 | 21 | 4.1 | 2 4 | | |
| New Hampshire | 622.6 | 7 3 1 | 16 | 6.1 | 2 | | |
| New Jersey | 3,918.8 | 876 | 5 | 2.8 | 4 7 | | |
| New Mexico | 769.3 | 588 | 4 3 | 4.1 | 2 4 | | |
| New York | 8,307.9 | 891 | 4 | 5.3 | 6 | | |
| North Carolina | 3,814.9 | 654 | 3 0 | 4.1 | 2 4 | | |
| North Dakota | 327.2 | 5 4 8 | 4 8 | 0.4 | 3 0 | | |
| Ohio | 533.3 | 685 | 23 | 4.1 | 2 4 | | |
| Oklahoma | 1,435.7 | 581 | 4 4 | 3.9 | 3 2 | | |
| Oregon | 1,627.6 | 676 | 2 4 | 3.7 | 3 6 | | |
| Pennsylvania Rhode Island | 5,531.4 | 7 2 2 7 0 8 | 17 20 | 4.3 | 21 | | |
| | 484.6 | | | | 13 | | |
| South Carolina South Dakota | 1,799.2 | 6 0 4 5 3 8 | 3 7 5 0 | 4.1 4.9 | 2 4 1 0 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Tennessee Texas | 2,668.6 9,357.6 | 659 719 | 2 6 1 8 | 4.4 | 1 9 3 7 | | |
| | | 607 | 3 6 | 3.0 | | | |
| Utah Vermont | 1,084.4 | 634 | 3 2 | 5.8 | 4 4 | | |
| vermont Virginia | 30.2 | 757 | 10 | 4.6 | 13 | | |
| Virginia Washington | 2,749.9 | 757 | 12 | 0.4 | 51 | | |
| Washington West Virginia | 693.1 | 559 | 47 | 5.1 | 8 | | |
| Wisconsin | 2,745.6 | 653 | 31 | 4.8 | 12 | | |
| Wyoming | 2,745.6 | 590 | 4 2 | 5.0 | 9 | | |

 $^{^{1}}$ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

² Data are preliminary.

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 3}$ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

 $^{^4}$ Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for any noneconomic county reclassifications.

 $^{^{5}}$ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico of the Virgin Islands.